

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,342	•	07/17/2003	Koichi Kimura	116608	8669
25944	7590	12/28/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF &		GE, PLC	TRAN, BINH Q		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3748	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/620,342	KIMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BINH Q. TRAN	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IHS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 October 2004.					
, ,	This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet is a specific sheet.	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperication of the priority documents have been the sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/929 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed October 12, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Takeshima et al. (Takeshima) (Patent Number 5,473,890).

Regarding claim 12, Takeshima discloses a exhaust emission purification device (20) for an internal combustion engine (10), comprising: a sulfur component holding agent (18) arranged in the exhaust path of the internal combustion engine for holding a sulfur component when an air-fuel ratio is lean or substantially stiochiometric or rich with a temperature of the sulfur component holding agent being below a sulfur component releasing temperature, and for releasing the sulfur component when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas is rich and the temperature exceeds the releasing temperature (e.g. See col. 11, lines 15-67; col. 12, lines 1-67;

Art Unit: 3748

col. 13, lines 1-39); a NOx holding agent (19) arranged downstream of said sulfur component holding agent in the exhaust gas for holding NOx and the sulfur components when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing thereinto is lean; and a reducing agent adding means (e.g. 60) for adding a reducing agent to the exhaust gas flowing into said NOx holding agent; wherein the concentration of the sulfur component in the reducing agent added by said reducing agent adding means is lower than the concentration of the sulfur component in the fuel supplied to a combustion chamber of the internal combustion engine (e.g. See col. 11, lines 16-67; col. 12, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 13, Takeshima further discloses a bypass (24) for bypassing said NOx holding agent (19) and a flow rate regulation valve (27) for controlling the flow rate of the exhaust gas flowing into said bypass, wherein said sulfur component holding agent holds the sulfur component in the exhaust gas flowing thereinto in the case where the sulfur component holding conditions are satisfied, and releases said sulfur component held thereby in the case where the sulfur component releasing conditions are satisfied, and wherein the sulfur releasing conditions are caused to be satisfied and the greater part of the exhaust gas flows into said bypass in the case where the sulfur component is released from said sulfur component holding agent (e.g. See col. 19, lines 25-53; col. 22, lines 17-67; col. 23, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 14, Takeshima further discloses that the NOx holding agent is carried on a particulate filter capable of trapping particulates contained in the exhaust gas flowing thereinto (e.g. See col. 4, lines 19-67; col. 5, lines 1-50).

Regarding claim 15, Takeshima further discloses that the concentration of the sulfur component in said reducing agent is substantially zero.

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 16, Takeshima further discloses that the reducing agent is selected one of light oil and methane (e.g. See col. 11, lines 16-67; col. 12, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 17, Takeshima further discloses that the reducing agent is stored in a tank different from the tank for storing the fuel supplied to the combustion chamber of the internal combustion engine (e.g. See col. 11, lines 16-67; col. 12, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 18, Takeshima further discloses that the reducing agent is changed in quality from the fuel supplied to the combustion chamber of the internal combustion engine (e.g. See col. 11, lines 16-67; col. 12, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 19, Takeshima further discloses a tank having two fuel supply paths including a fuel supply path for supplying the fuel to the combustion chamber of the internal combustion engine and a fuel supply path for supplying the fuel to said reducing agent adding means, and a desulfurizing unit, for changing the quality of the fuel, arranged in the fuel supply path for supplying the fuel to said reducing agent adding means (e.g. See col. 11, lines 16-67; col. 12, lines 1-30).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Applicant's cooperation in explaining the claims subject matter more specific to overcome the claim rejections is appreciated.

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Applicant's amendment (Claims 1-19) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL See MPEP. 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Since allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant is encouraged to submit formal drawings in response to this Office action. The early submission of formal drawings will permit the Office to review the drawings for acceptability and to resolve any informalities remaining therein before the application is passed to issue. This will avoid possible delays in the issue process.

Art Unit: 3748

Page 6

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4865. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications

and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BT

October 12, 2004

Binh Q. Tran

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748